Strategic Look, Beyond Partnering: Generating Efficiencies in Environmental Program Management

Mr. David C. Van Gasbeck Transformation Advisor, NGB/A7 (301) 836-8989

David.VanGasbeck@ang.af.mil



Mr. Aaron D. Etnyre
Partner, BB&E Consulting Engineers
(248) 489-9636, x303
aetnyre@bbande.com

maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing	lection of information is estimated to completing and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu uld be aware that notwithstanding ar DMB control number.	ion of information. Send comments arters Services, Directorate for Information	regarding this burden estimate mation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of the 1215 Jefferson Davis	nis collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington	
1. REPORT DATE MAY 2009	2. REPORT TYPE			3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2009 to 00-00-2009		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
Strategic Look, Beyond Partnering: Generating Efficiencies in Environmental Program Management				5b. GRANT NUMBER		
Environmentai Frogram Wanagement				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
				5e. TASK NUMBER		
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Air National Guard,NGB/A7,Baltimore,MD,21201				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAIL Approved for publ	LABILITY STATEMENT ic release; distributi	on unlimited				
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO Presented at the Ni held 4-7 May 2009	DIA Environment, I	Energy Security & S	sustainability (E2	S2) Symposi	um & Exhibition	
14. ABSTRACT						
15. SUBJECT TERMS						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC	17. LIMITATION OF	18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF			
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	Same as Report (SAR)	OF PAGES 20	RESPONSIBLE PERSON	

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

- 170 Air National Guard Installations in 54 states and territories
- \$50M Environmental Program
- HQ Environmental Division of 75 program managers
- 100+- Environmental Managers at ANG installations
- 7 Full Scope National Contractors 10 year IDIQ



What is Strategic Look?

- Program was created with the intent of improving efficiencies and external partnerships.
- Provides a holistic approach to solving programmatic environmental issues.
- Fosters open and honest communication between stakeholders
- Uses regular partnering sessions to discuss issues, collaboratively develop solutions, and present challenges and goals for the coming year.



Why Strategic Look?

Long Term Challenges

- Resource Limitations
 - Reduced Funding
 - Increased Workload with no increase in staff
- Internal Efficiencies
 - Need to do more with less
- Increasingly Restrictive Contracting Environment
- Not keeping pace with technological advances
- External Requirements (Congressional, HQAF, State/Fed Regulatory)



To identify impediments to efficiency and develop strategies to meet short and long term challenges

- Developed a plan of action for moving the Environmental Program forward
- Process was equivalent to a Strategic Business Planning Process- used the 1999 ANG Environmental Division SBP as a springboard.



Phase I - Baseline Internal Operations

Analyzed Internal Division Operations

- Examine Branch level business processes
- Evaluated Acquisition Models
- Determine impediments to efficiencies/ effectiveness
- Focused on External impacts to program effectiveness (Contracting, Regulatory, Contractors, Installation/Mission
- Identified PM Turnover/Transition Issues



Phase II - Baseline External Operations

Evaluate External Division Operations

- Contractor Direction Vs. Collaborative Approach
 - "Best Solution to the Problem Approach"
 - No cooperation b/w contractors
 - Competitive Environment became an impediment to progress
- Excessive marketing
- Contractor Quality/Scheduling Issues
- Contracting Issues
 - Invoicing
 - RFP/SOW/SOO language
- Regulatory Issues
 - Schedule delays
 - Conflict on technical issues
 - Contractors not engaged in process





NG Phase III – Stakeholder Engagement

Stakeholder Engagement

- Regulator Input
 - Through Air Force Regional Environmental Offices (REOs)
- Base Environmental Manager (EM) Input
 - Environmental Management Integrated Product Team (IPT)
- Contractor, Contracting Officer Input -Through Strategic Look Sessions



Phase IV - Roundtable Sessions

Strategic Look Roundtable Sessions

- Design of Sessions
 - 2 to 3 days in duration
 - Off-site location
- Participants
 - NGB Staff (Environmental, Contracting, Legal), and Contractors
- Components
 - ANG to Contractor Briefs
 - Contractor Capability Briefs
 - Problem Solving/Issue Working Session
 - Networking/Team Building Opportunities





ANG to Contractor Briefs

- State of the Environmental Division
 - Corporate Environment
 - New requirements/legislation, etc.
- Upcoming Procurement Actions
- "Good, Bad, and Ugly"
 - FY program reviews
 - Contractor performance feedback (report cards)
 - "Opening the books"
 - Reviewed program metrics
- Other



Contractor Capability Briefs

- Focused on ANG needs
- Capabilities/Focus Areas
 - Katrina Response
 - MMRP
 - One Clean
 - Mission Capability Assessment
 - Energy
- Use presentation to pre-qualify firms for streamlined contracting actions
- Focused "Marketing" time





Problem Solving/Issue Working Sessions

- PBC Issues
- Scope Creep Breakouts
- SOW/SOO Templates
- Communication Breakouts





Networking/Team Building Opportunities

- Group Lunches
- Group Dinners
- Session Breaks to discuss issues off-line
- Voluntary team building opportunities





Challenge Area- Resource Limitations

- Achievement of 20-25% cost reduction in the execution of the ANG Environmental Program
- Creating Functional Mailboxes to Improve Responsiveness



Challenge Area -Internal Efficiencies

- Auditing of internal inefficiencies allowed for increased productivity and staff buy-in
- Effective roll-out of new Division protocols and procedures to Contractors, allowed for seamless integration of new procedures into the existing environmental program



Challenge Area - Contracting

- Development of an effective and efficient performance based contracting program without the necessity of cost cap insurance
- Development of SOO/SOW templates allow for streamlined risk review on Contractor side and increased competition
- Through partnering with contracting agencies, the ANG was able to streamline the procurement process by prequalifying firms through technical presentations delivered at the partnering sessions
- Development of an efficient and effective invoicing policy



Challenge Area - Technical

- Fostering a sense of partnering between environmental contractors, while promoting technical, solution - based competition
- Developing alternative technical approaches to solve complex environmental issues such as Munitions Response, Streamlining the Environmental Assessment Process, and Enhancing Base Master Planning







- Challenge Area External Requirements (Congressional, HQAF, State/Fed Regulatory)
- Full integration of the ANG Environmental Division's Strategic Business Plan across all external stakeholders
- Development of interpersonal relationships that benefited overall teaming effort



Before You Start...

- Culture Change/Shock- Change the paradigm- FROM "We're the government, you're the contractor- we tell you what to do." TO Open teaming and collaboration on solutions
- Equitable not Equal Distribution-
 - Everyone gets fair opportunity to play, not necessarily an equal amount of work.
 - Performance matters.
- Get away from the office
 - Minimizes distractions
 - Promotes networking between attendees
- "No Retribution Environment"- Open and honest feedback
- Cost- Minimal investment, maximum return
 - \$20-\$25K/year- plus travel
 - Estimated Return- 20-25% of Program Budget







